Quantitative estimates for Lévy driven SDEs with different drifts and applications

Jian Wang (Fujian Normal University)

Joint with Jianhai Bao, Xiaobin Sun and Yingchao Xie

February 25, 2023

Jian Wang (Fujian Normal University) Quantitative estimates for Lévy driven SDEs

February 25, 2023 1 / 25







3

Image: A mathematical states and a mathem

2

Consider one-dimensional O-U process:

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = -X_t \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}B_t.$$

It is known that X_t exponentially converges to $\pi:=N(0,1/2)$ as $t\to\infty.$ Question:

$$dX_t = ((1+t)^{-1} - 1)X_t dt + dB_t.$$

It can be proved that the process X_t is W_2 -strongly ergodic in the sense that

 $\lim_{t \to \infty} W_2(P(s, x; t, \cdot), \pi) = 0.$

Consider one-dimensional O-U process:

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = -X_t \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}B_t.$$

It is known that X_t exponentially converges to $\pi := N(0, 1/2)$ as $t \to \infty$.

Question:

$$dX_t = ((1+t)^{-1} - 1)X_t dt + dB_t.$$

It can be proved that the process X_t is W_2 -strongly ergodic in the sense that

 $\lim_{t \to \infty} W_2(P(s, x; t, \cdot), \pi) = 0.$

Consider one-dimensional O-U process:

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = -X_t \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}B_t.$$

It is known that X_t exponentially converges to $\pi := N(0, 1/2)$ as $t \to \infty$.

Question:

$$dX_t = ((1+t)^{-1} - 1)X_t dt + dB_t.$$

It can be proved that the process X_t is W_2 -strongly ergodic in the sense that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} W_2(P(s, x; t, \cdot), \pi) = 0.$$

It can be proved that the process X_t is W_2 -strongly ergodic in the sense that

 $\lim_{t \to \infty} W_2(P(s, x; t, \cdot), \pi) = 0.$

Strong ergodicity:

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \|P(t, x, \cdot) - \pi\|_{\operatorname{Var}} \le C e^{-\lambda t}.$$

Nonhomogeneous Markov chain: Isofescu (1980/2007): Finite Markov chains and their applications.

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \|P(s, x; t, \cdot) - \pi\|_{\operatorname{Var}} = 0.$$

Note: In general we cannot hope to find a single invariant measure. $(\pi_s)_{s\geq 0}$ is a system of invariant measures (Da Prato-Röckner, 08):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{s,t} f(x) \, \pi_t(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, \pi_s(\mathrm{d}x), \quad s \le t.$$

It can be proved that the process X_t is W_2 -strongly ergodic in the sense that

 $\lim_{t \to \infty} W_2(P(s, x; t, \cdot), \pi) = 0.$

Strong ergodicity:

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \|P(t, x, \cdot) - \pi\|_{\operatorname{Var}} \le C e^{-\lambda t}.$$

Nonhomogeneous Markov chain: Isofescu (1980/2007): Finite Markov chains and their applications.

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \|P(s, x; t, \cdot) - \pi\|_{\operatorname{Var}} = 0.$$

Note: In general we cannot hope to find a single invariant measure. $(\pi_s)_{s\geq 0}$ is a system of invariant measures (Da Prato-Röckner, 08):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{s,t} f(x) \, \pi_t(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, \pi_s(\mathrm{d}x), \quad s \le t.$$

It can be proved that the process X_t is W_2 -strongly ergodic in the sense that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} W_2(P(s, x; t, \cdot), \pi) = 0.$$

Strong ergodicity:

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \|P(t, x, \cdot) - \pi\|_{\operatorname{Var}} \le C e^{-\lambda t}.$$

Nonhomogeneous Markov chain: Isofescu (1980/2007): Finite Markov chains and their applications.

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \|P(s, x; t, \cdot) - \pi\|_{\operatorname{Var}} = 0.$$

Note: In general we cannot hope to find a single invariant measure. $(\pi_s)_{s\geq 0}$ is a system of invariant measures (Da Prato-Röckner, 08):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{s,t} f(x) \, \pi_t(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, \pi_s(\mathrm{d}x), \quad s \le t.$$

It can be proved that the process X_t is W_2 -strongly ergodic in the sense that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} W_2(P(s, x; t, \cdot), \pi) = 0.$$

Strong ergodicity:

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \|P(t, x, \cdot) - \pi\|_{\operatorname{Var}} \le C e^{-\lambda t}.$$

Nonhomogeneous Markov chain: Isofescu (1980/2007): Finite Markov chains and their applications.

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \|P(s, x; t, \cdot) - \pi\|_{\operatorname{Var}} = 0.$$

Note: In general we cannot hope to find a single invariant measure. $(\pi_s)_{s\geq 0}$ is a system of invariant measures (Da Prato-Röckner, 08):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{s,t} f(x) \, \pi_t(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, \pi_s(\mathrm{d}x), \quad s \le t.$$

Note: $(\pi_s)_{s\geq 0}$ is a system of invariant measures (Da Prato-Röckner, 08):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{s,t} f(x) \, \pi_t(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, \pi_s(\mathrm{d}x), \quad s \le t.$$

Example: Time-dependent stable-like process

$$\mathcal{L}_t f(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x+z) - f(x)) K(t, x, z) \frac{1}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} \, dz,$$

where for every t > 0, $K(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ is multivariate 1-periodic.

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |P_{s,t}f(x) - \mu_s(f)| \le c_0 e^{-c_1(t-s)} ||f||_{\infty}.$$

February 25, 2023 5 / 25

Note: $(\pi_s)_{s\geq 0}$ is a system of invariant measures (Da Prato-Röckner, 08):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{s,t} f(x) \, \pi_t(\mathrm{d} x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, \pi_s(\mathrm{d} x), \quad s \leq t.$$

Example: Time-dependent stable-like process

$$\mathcal{L}_t f(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x+z) - f(x)) K(t, x, z) \frac{1}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} \, dz,$$

where for every t > 0, $K(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ is multivariate 1-periodic.

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |P_{s,t}f(x) - \mu_s(f)| \le c_0 e^{-c_1(t-s)} ||f||_{\infty}.$$

Note: $(\pi_s)_{s\geq 0}$ is a system of invariant measures (Da Prato-Röckner, 08):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{s,t} f(x) \, \pi_t(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, \pi_s(\mathrm{d}x), \quad s \le t.$$

Example: Time-dependent stable-like process

$$\mathcal{L}_t f(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x+z) - f(x)) K(t, x, z) \frac{1}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} \, dz,$$

where for every t > 0, $K(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ is multivariate 1-periodic.

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |P_{s,t}f(x) - \mu_s(f)| \le c_0 e^{-c_1(t-s)} ||f||_{\infty}.$$

February 25, 2023 5 / 25

$$dX_t = ((1+t)^{-1} - 1)X_t dt + dB_t.$$

It can be proved that the process X_t is W_2 -strongly ergodic in the sense that

 $\lim_{t \to \infty} W_2(P(s, x; t, \cdot), \pi) = 0.$

Question: Convergence rate?

Question: Beyond the variant of O-U process?

$$dX_t = ((1+t)^{-1} - 1)X_t dt + dB_t.$$

It can be proved that the process X_t is W_2 -strongly ergodic in the sense that

 $\lim_{t \to \infty} W_2(P(s, x; t, \cdot), \pi) = 0.$

Question: Convergence rate?

Question: Beyond the variant of O-U process?

$$dX_t = ((1+t)^{-1} - 1)X_t dt + dB_t.$$

It can be proved that the process X_t is W_2 -strongly ergodic in the sense that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} W_2(P(s, x; t, \cdot), \pi) = 0.$$

Question: Convergence rate?

Question: Beyond the variant of O-U process?

One-dimensional time-inhomogeneous process

$$dX_{s,t} = (\phi(t) - X_{s,t}) dt + dB_t, \quad t \ge s \ge 0, \quad X_{s,s} = x.$$

$$X_{s,t} = e^{-(t-s)}x + \int_{s}^{t} e^{-(t-u)}\phi(u) \,\mathrm{d}u + \int_{s}^{t} e^{-(t-u)} \,\mathrm{d}B_{u}.$$

7 / 25

$$(\delta_x P_{s,t})(\mathrm{d}y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(1 - e^{-2(t-s)})}} \exp\left(-\frac{\left(y - e^{-(t-s)}x - \int_s^t e^{-(t-u)}\phi(u)\,\mathrm{d}u\right)^2}{1 - e^{-2(t-s)}}\right)\mathrm{d}y$$

Dowson, D.C. and Landau, B.V.: The Fréchet distance between multivariate normal distributions, *J. Multivariate Anal.*, **12** (1982), 450–455.

$$\mathbb{W}_2(\delta_x P_{s,t}, \delta_y P_{s,t}) = e^{s-t} |x-y|.$$

One-dimensional time-inhomogeneous process

$$dX_{s,t} = (\phi(t) - X_{s,t}) dt + dB_t, \quad t \ge s \ge 0, \quad X_{s,s} = x.$$

$$X_{s,t} = e^{-(t-s)}x + \int_{s}^{t} e^{-(t-u)}\phi(u) \,\mathrm{d}u + \int_{s}^{t} e^{-(t-u)} \mathrm{d}B_{u}.$$

7 / 25

$$(\delta_x P_{s,t})(\mathrm{d}y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(1 - e^{-2(t-s)})}} \exp\left(-\frac{\left(y - e^{-(t-s)}x - \int_s^t e^{-(t-u)}\phi(u)\,\mathrm{d}u\right)^2}{1 - e^{-2(t-s)}}\right) \mathrm{d}y$$

Dowson, D.C. and Landau, B.V.: The Fréchet distance between multivariate normal distributions, *J. Multivariate Anal.*, **12** (1982), 450–455.

$$\mathbb{W}_2(\delta_x P_{s,t}, \delta_y P_{s,t}) = e^{s-t} |x-y|.$$

One-dimensional time-inhomogeneous process

$$dX_{s,t} = (\phi(t) - X_{s,t}) dt + dB_t, \quad t \ge s \ge 0, \quad X_{s,s} = x.$$

$$X_{s,t} = e^{-(t-s)}x + \int_{s}^{t} e^{-(t-u)}\phi(u) \,\mathrm{d}u + \int_{s}^{t} e^{-(t-u)} \mathrm{d}B_{u}.$$
$$(\delta_{x}P_{s,t})(\mathrm{d}y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(1-e^{-2(t-s)})}} \exp\left(-\frac{(y-e^{-(t-s)}x - \int_{s}^{t} e^{-(t-u)}\phi(u) \,\mathrm{d}u)^{2}}{1-e^{-2(t-s)}}\right) \mathrm{d}y$$

Dowson, D.C. and Landau, B.V.: The Fréchet distance between multivariate normal

$$\mathbb{W}_2(\delta_x P_{s,t}, \delta_y P_{s,t}) = e^{s-t} |x-y|.$$

7 / 25

One-dimensional time-inhomogeneous process

$$dX_{s,t} = (\phi(t) - X_{s,t}) dt + dB_t, \quad t \ge s \ge 0, \quad X_{s,s} = x.$$

$$X_{s,t} = e^{-(t-s)}x + \int_{s}^{t} e^{-(t-u)}\phi(u) \,\mathrm{d}u + \int_{s}^{t} e^{-(t-u)} \mathrm{d}B_{u}.$$

$$(\delta_x P_{s,t})(\mathrm{d}y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(1 - e^{-2(t-s)})}} \exp\left(-\frac{\left(y - e^{-(t-s)}x - \int_s^t e^{-(t-u)}\phi(u)\,\mathrm{d}u\right)^2}{1 - e^{-2(t-s)}}\right) \mathrm{d}y$$

Dowson, D.C. and Landau, B.V.: The Fréchet distance between multivariate normal distributions, *J. Multivariate Anal.*, **12** (1982), 450–455.

$$\mathbb{W}_2(\delta_x P_{s,t}, \delta_y P_{s,t}) = e^{s-t} |x-y|.$$

One-dimensional time-inhomogeneous process

$$\mathrm{d}X_{s,t} = (\phi(t) - X_{s,t})\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}B_t, \quad t \ge s \ge 0, \quad X_{s,s} = x.$$

When $\lim_{t\to\infty}\phi(t)=0$, the limit process is expected to be

$$dY_t = -Y_t dt + dB_t, \quad t \ge s, \quad Y_s = x.$$

 $W_{2}(\delta_{x}P_{s,t},\pi)^{2} = e^{-2(t-s)}x^{2} + 2e^{-(t-s)}x\int_{s}^{t}e^{-(t-u)}\phi(u)\,\mathrm{d}u$ $+ \left|\int_{s}^{t}e^{-(t-u)}\phi(u)\,\mathrm{d}u\right|^{2}$ $+ \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \left(1 - e^{-2(t-s)}\right)^{1/2}\right)^{2}.$

One-dimensional time-inhomogeneous process

$$dX_{s,t} = (\phi(t) - X_{s,t}) dt + dB_t, \quad t \ge s \ge 0, \quad X_{s,s} = x.$$

When $\lim_{t\to\infty}\phi(t)=0,$ the limit process is expected to be

$$\mathrm{d}Y_t = -Y_t \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}B_t, \quad t \ge s, \quad Y_s = x.$$

Long-time behavior of time-inhomogeneous SDEs

Consider one-dimensional time-inhomogeneous process:

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = \left(\phi(t) - X_t\right)\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t,$$

where $\phi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ and $(Z_t)_{t \ge 0}$ is a one-dimensional Lévy process.

If $\lim_{t\to\infty} \phi(t) = 0$, then it is naturally expected that the process $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ above enjoys the same long time behavior as that of the time-homogeneous O-U process

$$\mathrm{d}\overline{X}_t = -\overline{X}_t\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t.$$

It is well known that the process $(\overline{X}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ admits a unique invariant probability measure, written as π , and it converges exponentially to π .

Subsequently, a spontaneous question one might ask is that, under what conditions, the transition kernel of the time-inhomogeneous process $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ will converge to the invariant probability measure π .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

10 / 25

Long-time behavior of time-inhomogeneous SDEs

Consider one-dimensional time-inhomogeneous process:

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = (\phi(t) - X_t)\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t,$$

where $\phi: [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ and $(Z_t)_{t \ge 0}$ is a one-dimensional Lévy process.

If $\lim_{t\to\infty} \phi(t) = 0$, then it is naturally expected that the process $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ above enjoys the same long time behavior as that of the time-homogeneous O-U process

$$\mathrm{d}\overline{X}_t = -\overline{X}_t \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t.$$

It is well known that the process $(\overline{X}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ admits a unique invariant probability measure, written as π , and it converges exponentially to π .

Subsequently, a spontaneous question one might ask is that, under what conditions, the transition kernel of the time-inhomogeneous process $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ will converge to the invariant probability measure π .

Ergodicity of the McKean-Vlasov SDE

Consider

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b(X_t, \mathscr{L}_{X_t})\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t,$$

where \mathscr{L}_{X_t} means the law of X_t and $(Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a *d*-dimensional Lévy process. Due to the intervention of the measure variable, the solution process $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a nonlinear Markov process whose transition kernel may depend not only on the current state of the process but also on the current distribution of the process.

Provided that the McKean-Vlasov SDE is weakly wellposed, the weak solution $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ shares the same distribution as that of the corresponding decoupled SDE

$$\mathrm{d}Y_t^{\mu} = b(Y_t^{\mu}, \mu_t) \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t, \quad \mathscr{L}_{Y_0^{\mu}} = \mu,$$

where $\mu_t := \mathscr{L}_{X_t}$ with the initial distribution $\mathscr{L}_{Y_0} = \mu$. That is, we have $\mathscr{L}_{X_t} = \mathscr{L}_{Y_t^{\mu}}$ when $\mathscr{L}_{X_0} = \mathscr{L}_{Y_0^{\mu}} = \mu$.

Therefore, the exploration on the McKean-Vlasov SDE amounts to the counterpart of the corresponding decoupled SDE. Note that the drifts of the decoupled SDEs are not the same once the initial distributions involved are different, (z), z, (z), (z)

Ergodicity of the McKean-Vlasov SDE

Consider

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b(X_t, \mathscr{L}_{X_t})\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t,$$

where \mathscr{L}_{X_t} means the law of X_t and $(Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a *d*-dimensional Lévy process. Due to the intervention of the measure variable, the solution process $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a nonlinear Markov process whose transition kernel may depend not only on the current state of the process but also on the current distribution of the process.

Provided that the McKean-Vlasov SDE is weakly wellposed, the weak solution $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ shares the same distribution as that of the corresponding decoupled SDE

$$\mathrm{d}Y_t^{\mu} = b(Y_t^{\mu}, \mu_t)\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t, \quad \mathscr{L}_{Y_0^{\mu}} = \mu,$$

where $\mu_t := \mathscr{L}_{X_t}$ with the initial distribution $\mathscr{L}_{Y_0} = \mu$. That is, we have $\mathscr{L}_{X_t} = \mathscr{L}_{Y_t^{\mu}}$ when $\mathscr{L}_{X_0} = \mathscr{L}_{Y_0^{\mu}} = \mu$.

Therefore, the exploration on the McKean-Vlasov SDE amounts to the counterpart of the corresponding decoupled SDE. Note that the drifts of the decoupled SDEs are not the same once the initial distributions involved are different, (z), z, (z), (z)

Ergodicity of the McKean-Vlasov SDE

Consider

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b(X_t, \mathscr{L}_{X_t})\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t,$$

where \mathscr{L}_{X_t} means the law of X_t and $(Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a *d*-dimensional Lévy process. Due to the intervention of the measure variable, the solution process $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a nonlinear Markov process whose transition kernel may depend not only on the current state of the process but also on the current distribution of the process.

Provided that the McKean-Vlasov SDE is weakly wellposed, the weak solution $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ shares the same distribution as that of the corresponding decoupled SDE

$$\mathrm{d}Y_t^{\mu} = b(Y_t^{\mu}, \mu_t)\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t, \quad \mathscr{L}_{Y_0^{\mu}} = \mu,$$

where $\mu_t := \mathscr{L}_{X_t}$ with the initial distribution $\mathscr{L}_{Y_0} = \mu$. That is, we have $\mathscr{L}_{X_t} = \mathscr{L}_{Y_t^{\mu}}$ when $\mathscr{L}_{X_0} = \mathscr{L}_{Y_0^{\mu}} = \mu$.

11 / 25

Setting

In this talk, we are interested in the following SDEs on \mathbb{R}^d :

 $\mathrm{d}X_t = b_t(X_t)\,\mathrm{d}t + \,\mathrm{d}Z_t,$

and

$$\mathrm{d}Y_t = \tilde{b}_t(Y_t)\,\mathrm{d}t + \,\mathrm{d}Z_t,$$

where $b, \tilde{b} : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ are measurable, and $(Z_t)_{t \ge 0}$ is a *d*-dimensional pure jump Lévy process with the Lévy measure ν .

• Eberle, A. and Zimmer, R.: Sticky couplings of multidimensional diffusions with different drifts, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat., 55 (2019), 2370–2394.

 Lefter, M., Šiška, D. and Szpruch, L.: Decaying derivative estimates for functions of solutions to non-autonomous SDEs, arXiv:2207.1287

• Suzuki, K.: Weak convergence of approximate reflection coupling and its application to non-convex optimization,

arXiv:2205.11970

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Setting

In this talk, we are interested in the following SDEs on \mathbb{R}^d :

 $\mathrm{d}X_t = b_t(X_t)\,\mathrm{d}t + \,\mathrm{d}Z_t,$

and

$$\mathrm{d}Y_t = \tilde{b}_t(Y_t)\,\mathrm{d}t + \,\mathrm{d}Z_t,$$

where $b, \tilde{b} : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ are measurable, and $(Z_t)_{t \ge 0}$ is a *d*-dimensional pure jump Lévy process with the Lévy measure ν .

• Eberle, A. and Zimmer, R.: Sticky couplings of multidimensional diffusions with different drifts, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat., 55 (2019), 2370–2394.

• Lefter, M., Šiška, D. and Szpruch, L.: Decaying derivative estimates for functions of solutions to non-autonomous SDEs, arXiv:2207.1287

• Suzuki, K.: Weak convergence of approximate reflection coupling and its application to non-convex optimization,

arXiv:2205.11970

Assumptions

For the drift $b_t(x)$ and the Lévy measure $\nu(dz)$, we assume that

(A₁) (i) there exist constants $K_1, \ell_0 \ge 0$ and $K_2 > 0$ such that for all $t \ge 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\langle x-y, b_t(x) - b_t(y) \rangle \le \left(K_1 \mathbb{1}_{\{|x-y| \le \ell_0\}} - K_2 \mathbb{1}_{\{|x-y| > \ell_0\}} \right) |x-y|^2.$$

(ii) there exist a constant $\kappa > 0$ and a nondecreasing and concave function $\sigma \in C([0, 2\ell_0]; \mathbb{R}_+) \cap C^2((0, 2\ell_0]; \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $[0, 2\ell_0] \ni r \mapsto \int_0^r \frac{1}{\sigma(s)} ds$ is integrable, and

$$\sigma(r) \le \frac{1}{2r} J^{\nu}(r \wedge \kappa)(\kappa \wedge r)^2, \quad r \in (0, 2\ell_0],$$

where

$$J^{\nu}(s) := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, |x| \le s} \left(\nu \wedge (\delta_x * \nu) \right) (\mathbb{R}^d), \quad s > 0.$$

Assumptions

For the drift $b_t(x)$ and the Lévy measure $\nu(dz)$, we assume that

(A₁) (i) there exist constants $K_1, \ell_0 \ge 0$ and $K_2 > 0$ such that for all $t \ge 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\langle x - y, b_t(x) - b_t(y) \rangle \le \left(K_1 \mathbb{1}_{\{|x-y| \le \ell_0\}} - K_2 \mathbb{1}_{\{|x-y| > \ell_0\}} \right) |x - y|^2.$$

(ii) there exist a constant $\kappa > 0$ and a nondecreasing and concave function $\sigma \in C([0, 2\ell_0]; \mathbb{R}_+) \cap C^2((0, 2\ell_0]; \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $[0, 2\ell_0] \ni r \mapsto \int_0^r \frac{1}{\sigma(s)} ds$ is integrable, and

$$\sigma(r) \le \frac{1}{2r} J^{\nu}(r \wedge \kappa)(\kappa \wedge r)^2, \quad r \in (0, 2\ell_0],$$

where

$$J^{\nu}(s) := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, |x| \le s} \left(\nu \wedge (\delta_x * \nu) \right) (\mathbb{R}^d), \quad s > 0.$$

(A₂) there exist a C^2 -function $W : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, \infty)$, locally integrable functions $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3 : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ and a locally integrable function $\lambda_W : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \ge 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$|b_t(x) - \tilde{b}_t(x)| \le \phi_1(t) + \phi_2(t)W(x),$$

and

$$(\mathscr{L}_t^{\tilde{b}}W)(x) \le \phi_3(t) + \lambda_W(t)W(x),$$

where $\mathscr{L}_t^{\tilde{b}}$ means the infinitesimal generator of $(Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$.

Main result

Theorem 1

Assume that (A_1) and (A_2) hold. Then, for all t > s and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{W}_1(\delta_x P_{s,t}^X, \delta_y P_{s,t}^Y) \\ &\leq \frac{1+c^*}{2c^*} \Bigg[e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \left| x-y \right| + \int_s^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} \phi_1(r) \, \mathrm{d}r \\ &+ \int_s^t \phi_2(r) \, e^{-\lambda(t-r)} \left(e^{\int_s^r \lambda_W(u) \, \mathrm{d}u} \, W(y) + \int_s^r \phi_3(u) \, e^{\int_u^r \lambda_W(v) \, \mathrm{d}v} \, \, \mathrm{d}u \right) \mathrm{d}r \Bigg], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c^* := e^{-g(2\ell_0)}, \quad \lambda := \frac{(1 \wedge (2K_2))c^*}{1 + c^*}, \quad g(2\ell_0) := (1 + 2K_1) \int_0^{2\ell_0} \frac{1}{\sigma(s)} \,\mathrm{d}s.$$

3

< 4 ∰ > <

Corollary 1

Consider the time-homogeneous versions of two SDEs above. Assume that (\mathbf{A}_1) and (\mathbf{A}_2) hold with $\lambda_W(t) \equiv \lambda_W < 0$, $\phi_1(t) \equiv \kappa_1, \phi_2(t) \equiv \kappa_2$, and $\phi_3(t) \equiv C_W$ for some constants $C_W, \kappa_1, \kappa_2 > 0$. Then for all t > 0 and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\mathbb{W}_1\left(\delta_x P_t^X, \delta_y P_t^Y\right) \leq \frac{1+c^*}{2c^*} \left\{ e^{-\lambda t} |x-y| + \lambda^{-1} (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 C_W / \lambda_W) (1-e^{-\lambda t}) + \kappa_2 e^{\lambda_W t} W(y) \left[\frac{1}{\lambda + \lambda_W} (1-e^{-(\lambda + \lambda_W)t}) \right] \right\}.$$

Application: Long time behavior of inhomogeneous SDEs with jumps

Let $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be the unique strong solution to the time-inhomogeneous SDE, which fulfills Assumption (A₁). Assume that the following time-homogeneous SDE on \mathbb{R}^d :

$$\mathrm{d}\overline{X}_t = \overline{b}(\overline{X}_t)\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t$$

with $\overline{b}: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ has a unique strong solution, which is denoted by $(\overline{X}_t)_{t \ge 0}$. We assume that

(C) there are a C^2 -function $W : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, \infty)$ and a bounded function $\phi : [0, \infty) \mapsto [0, \infty)$ that satisfies $\lim_{t\to\infty} \phi(t) = 0$, so that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \ge 0$,

 $|b_t(x) - \overline{b}(x)| \le \phi(t)W(x)$

 $(\overline{\mathscr{L}}^{\overline{b}}W)(x) \le c_0 - \theta W(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$

and there are constants $c_0, heta > 0$ such that

Application: Long time behavior of inhomogeneous SDEs with jumps

Let $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be the unique strong solution to the time-inhomogeneous SDE, which fulfills Assumption (A₁). Assume that the following time-homogeneous SDE on \mathbb{R}^d :

$$\mathrm{d}\overline{X}_t = \overline{b}(\overline{X}_t)\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t$$

with $\overline{b}: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ has a unique strong solution, which is denoted by $(\overline{X}_t)_{t \ge 0}$. We assume that

(C) there are a C^2 -function $W : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, \infty)$ and a bounded function $\phi : [0, \infty) \mapsto [0, \infty)$ that satisfies $\lim_{t \to \infty} \phi(t) = 0$, so that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \ge 0$, $|b_t(x) - \overline{b}(x)| \le \phi(t)W(x)$

and there are constants $c_0, \theta > 0$ such that

$$\left(\overline{\mathscr{Z}}^{\overline{b}}W\right)(x) \le c_0 - \theta W(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Application: Long time behavior of inhomogeneous SDEs with jumps

Theorem 2

Assume that (\mathbf{A}_1) and (\mathbf{C}) hold. Then, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t > s \ge 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{W}_1(\delta_x P^X_{s,t},\pi) &\leq C(x) \,\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(t-s)} + \frac{1+c^*}{2c^*} \bigg[\frac{\|\phi\|_{\infty} W(x) \,\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(t-s)}(\mathrm{e}^{(\lambda-\theta)(t-s)} - 1)}{\lambda - \theta} \\ &+ \frac{c_0}{\theta} \int_s^t \phi(r) \,\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(t-r)} \,\mathrm{d}r \bigg], \end{aligned}$$

where π is the unique invariant probability measure of the process $(\overline{X}_t)_{t\geq 0}$. In particular,

$$\mathbb{W}_1(\delta_x P_{s,t}^X, \pi) \to 0, \quad t \to \infty.$$

Furthermore, if $\phi(t) = c_1 e^{-\lambda_0 t}$ for some constants $c_1, \lambda_0 > 0$, then for any $0 < \lambda_* < \min\{\lambda, \lambda_0, \theta\}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and t > s,

 $\mathbb{W}_1(\delta_x P_{s,t}^X, \pi) \le C_*(x) e^{-\lambda_*(t-s)}.$

Application: Long time behavior of inhomogeneous SDEs with jumps

Theorem 2

Assume that (\mathbf{A}_1) and (\mathbf{C}) hold. Then, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t > s \ge 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{W}_1(\delta_x P^X_{s,t},\pi) &\leq C(x) \,\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(t-s)} + \frac{1+c^*}{2c^*} \bigg[\frac{\|\phi\|_{\infty} W(x) \,\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(t-s)}(\mathrm{e}^{(\lambda-\theta)(t-s)} - 1)}{\lambda - \theta} \\ &+ \frac{c_0}{\theta} \int_s^t \phi(r) \,\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(t-r)} \,\mathrm{d}r \bigg], \end{aligned}$$

where π is the unique invariant probability measure of the process $(\overline{X}_t)_{t\geq 0}$. In particular,

$$\mathbb{W}_1(\delta_x P_{s,t}^X, \pi) \to 0, \quad t \to \infty.$$

Furthermore, if $\phi(t) = c_1 e^{-\lambda_0 t}$ for some constants $c_1, \lambda_0 > 0$, then for any $0 < \lambda_* < \min\{\lambda, \lambda_0, \theta\}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and t > s,

 $\mathbb{W}_1(\delta_x P_{s,t}^X, \pi) \le C_*(x) e^{-\lambda_*(t-s)}.$

Application: Long time behavior of inhomogeneous SDEs with jumps

Theorem 2

Assume that (\mathbf{A}_1) and (\mathbf{C}) hold. Then, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t > s \ge 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{W}_1(\delta_x P_{s,t}^X, \pi) &\leq C(x) \,\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(t-s)} + \frac{1+c^*}{2c^*} \left[\frac{\|\phi\|_{\infty} W(x) \,\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(t-s)}(\mathrm{e}^{(\lambda-\theta)(t-s)} - 1)}{\lambda - \theta} \right. \\ &+ \frac{c_0}{\theta} \int_s^t \phi(r) \,\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(t-r)} \,\mathrm{d}r \right], \end{aligned}$$

where π is the unique invariant probability measure of the process $(\overline{X}_t)_{t\geq 0}$. In particular,

$$\mathbb{W}_1(\delta_x P^X_{s,t},\pi) \to 0, \quad t \to \infty.$$

Furthermore, if $\phi(t) = c_1 e^{-\lambda_0 t}$ for some constants $c_1, \lambda_0 > 0$, then for any $0 < \lambda_* < \min\{\lambda, \lambda_0, \theta\}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and t > s,

$$\mathbb{W}_1(\delta_x P_{s,t}^X, \pi) \le C_*(x) e^{-\lambda_*(t-s)}$$

Application: Exponential ergodicity of McKean-Vlasov SDEs with partially dissipative drift

Consider the following McKean-Vlasov SDE

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b(X_t, \mathscr{L}_{X_t})\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t$$

so that

- $\begin{array}{ll} (\mathbf{B}_1) & \textit{the Lévy measure } \nu \textit{ satisfies Assumption } (\mathbf{A}_1)(\mathrm{ii}) \textit{ and } \int_{\{|z|>1\}} |z|\,\nu(\mathrm{d} z) < \\ \infty. \end{array}$
- (**B**₂) there exist constants $K_1, \ell_0 \ge 0$ and $K_2 > 0$ such that for all $\mu \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\langle x-y, b(x,\mu)-b(y,\mu)\rangle \le \left(K_1 \mathbb{1}_{\{|x-y|\le \ell_0\}} - K_2 \mathbb{1}_{\{|x-y|>\ell_0\}}\right)|x-y|^2,$$

and there exists a constant $L_0 \geq 0$ such that for all $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$|b(x,\mu)-b(x,\nu)|\leq L_0\mathbb{W}_1(\underline{\mu},\nu)\cdot \mathbb{W}_1(\underline{\mu},\nu)\cdot \mathbb{W}_1(\underline{\mu},\mu)\cdot \mathbb{W}_1(\underline$$

Application: Exponential ergodicity of McKean-Vlasov SDEs with partially dissipative drift

Theorem 3

Assume that (\mathbf{B}_1) and (\mathbf{B}_2) hold. Then, for all $t \ge 0$ and $\mu, \hat{\mu} \in \mathscr{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\mathbb{W}_1(\mu_t, \hat{\mu}_t) \le \frac{1+c^*}{2c^*} e^{-\left(\lambda - \frac{(1+c^*)L_0}{2c^*}\right)t} \mathbb{W}_1(\mu, \hat{\mu}).$$

Jian Wang (Fujian Normal University) Quantitative estimates for Lévy driven SDEs Februa

• Consider the following SDE

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}\tilde{X}_t = b_t(\tilde{X}_t) \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t \\ \mathrm{d}\tilde{Y}_t^{\tilde{\nu},\kappa} = \tilde{b}_t(\tilde{Y}_t^{\tilde{\nu},\kappa}) \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times [0,1]} U^{\tilde{\nu}}((\tilde{X}_{t-} - \tilde{Y}_{t-}^{\tilde{\nu},\kappa}), z, u) N(\mathrm{d}t, \mathrm{d}z, \mathrm{d}u) \end{cases}$$

has a unique strong solution $(\tilde{X}_t, \tilde{Y}_t^{\tilde{\nu},\kappa})_{t\geq 0}$, where for $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $u \in [0,1]$,

$$\begin{split} U^{\tilde{\nu}}(x,z,u) &:= x \left(\mathbf{1}_{\{u \leq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{\tilde{\nu}}(-x,z)\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{\frac{1}{2}\rho^{\tilde{\nu}}(-x,z) < u \leq \frac{1}{2}(\rho^{\tilde{\nu}}(-x,z) + \rho^{\tilde{\nu}}(x,z))\}} \right) \\ \text{with } \rho^{\tilde{\nu}}(x,z) &:= \frac{\tilde{\nu}_x(\mathrm{d}z)}{\nu(\mathrm{d}z)} \in [0,1]. \end{split}$$

• Luo, D. and Wang, J.: Refined couplings and Wasserstein-type distances for SDEs with Lévy noises, *Stoch. Process. Appl.*, **129** (2019), 3129–3173.

 Liang, M., Majka, M.B. and Wang, J.: Exponential ergodicity for SDEs and McKean-Vlasov processes with Lévy noise, Ann. Inst. Henri Boincaré Probab. Stat. 57 (2021), 1665–1701.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

3

• Consider the following SDE

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}\tilde{X}_t = b_t(\tilde{X}_t) \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t \\ \mathrm{d}\tilde{Y}_t^{\tilde{\nu},\kappa} = \tilde{b}_t(\tilde{Y}_t^{\tilde{\nu},\kappa}) \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times [0,1]} U^{\tilde{\nu}}((\tilde{X}_{t-} - \tilde{Y}_{t-}^{\tilde{\nu},\kappa}), z, u) N(\mathrm{d}t, \mathrm{d}z, \mathrm{d}u) \end{cases}$$

has a unique strong solution $(\tilde{X}_t, \tilde{Y}_t^{\tilde{\nu},\kappa})_{t\geq 0}$, where for $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $u \in [0,1]$,

$$\begin{split} U^{\tilde{\nu}}(x,z,u) &:= x \big(\mathbf{1}_{\{u \leq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{\tilde{\nu}}(-x,z)\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{\frac{1}{2}\rho^{\tilde{\nu}}(-x,z) < u \leq \frac{1}{2}(\rho^{\tilde{\nu}}(-x,z) + \rho^{\tilde{\nu}}(x,z))\}} \big) \\ \text{with } \rho^{\tilde{\nu}}(x,z) &:= \frac{\tilde{\nu}_x(\mathrm{d}z)}{\nu(\mathrm{d}z)} \in [0,1]. \end{split}$$

• Luo, D. and Wang, J.: Refined couplings and Wasserstein-type distances for SDEs with Lévy noises, *Stoch. Process. Appl.*, **129** (2019), 3129–3173.

• Liang, M., Majka, M.B. and Wang, J.: Exponential ergodicity for SDEs and McKean-Vlasov processes with Lévy noise, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat., 57 (2021), 1665–1701.

 \bullet For any $t \geq s$,

.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}\psi(|r_t|) &= \frac{\psi'(|r_t|)}{|r_t|} \langle r_t, b_t(\tilde{X}_t) - \tilde{b}_t(\tilde{Y}_t^{\nu,\kappa}) \rangle \mathbf{1}_{\{r_t \neq \mathbf{0}\}} \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \nu_{(r_t)\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^d) \big(\psi(|r_t| + \kappa \wedge |r_t|) + \psi(|r_t| - \kappa \wedge |r_t|) - 2\psi(|r_t|) \big) \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &+ \mathrm{d}\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{s,t} \end{aligned}$$

for some martingale $(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{s,t})_{t \geq s}$.

$$\langle r_t, b_t(\tilde{X}_t) - \tilde{b}_t(\tilde{Y}_t^{\nu,\kappa}) \rangle$$

• Coupling time does not make sense in this setting.

___ ▶

 \bullet For any $t \geq s$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}\psi(|r_t|) &= \frac{\psi'(|r_t|)}{|r_t|} \langle r_t, b_t(\tilde{X}_t) - \tilde{b}_t(\tilde{Y}_t^{\nu,\kappa}) \rangle \mathbf{1}_{\{r_t \neq \mathbf{0}\}} \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \nu_{(r_t)\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^d) \big(\psi(|r_t| + \kappa \wedge |r_t|) + \psi(|r_t| - \kappa \wedge |r_t|) - 2\psi(|r_t|)\big) \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &+ \mathrm{d}\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{s,t} \end{aligned}$$

for some martingale $(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{s,t})_{t \geq s}$.

$$\langle r_t, b_t(\tilde{X}_t) - \tilde{b}_t(\tilde{Y}_t^{\nu,\kappa}) \rangle$$

• Coupling time does not make sense in this setting.

Proof: application 2

Consider the McKean-Vlasov SDE

 $\mathrm{d}X_t = b(X_t, \mathscr{L}_{X_t})\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t.$

Denote $\mu_t := \mathscr{L}_{X_t}$ with $\mathscr{L}_{X_0} = \mu \in \mathscr{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\hat{\mu}_t := \mathscr{L}_{X_t}$ with $\mathscr{L}_{X_0} = \hat{\mu} \in \mathscr{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Consider the following two SDEs: for $\mu, \hat{\mu} \in \mathscr{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\mathrm{d}Y_t^{\mu} = b(Y_t^{\mu}, \mu_t)\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t, \quad \mathscr{L}_{Y_0^{\mu}} = \mu,$$

and

$$\mathrm{d}Y_t^{\hat{\mu}} = b(Y_t^{\hat{\mu}}, \hat{\mu}_t) \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t, \quad \mathscr{L}_{Y_0^{\hat{\mu}}} = \hat{\mu}.$$

Let

 $b_t(x) = b_t^{\mu}(x) := b(x, \mu_t), \qquad \tilde{b}_t(x) = b_t^{\hat{\mu}}(x) = b(x, \hat{\mu}_t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t \ge 0.$

Thus, two SDEs above can be reformulated into our framework. The SDE above is called the decoupled SDE associated with the McKean-Vlasov SDE ≈ 200

23 / 25

Jian Wang (Fujian Normal University) Quantitative estimates for Lévy driven SDEs February 25, 2023

Proof: application 2

Consider the McKean-Vlasov SDE

 $\mathrm{d}X_t = b(X_t, \mathscr{L}_{X_t})\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t.$

Denote $\mu_t := \mathscr{L}_{X_t}$ with $\mathscr{L}_{X_0} = \mu \in \mathscr{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\hat{\mu}_t := \mathscr{L}_{X_t}$ with $\mathscr{L}_{X_0} = \hat{\mu} \in \mathscr{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Consider the following two SDEs: for $\mu, \hat{\mu} \in \mathscr{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\mathrm{d}Y_t^{\mu} = b(Y_t^{\mu}, \mu_t)\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t, \quad \mathscr{L}_{Y_0^{\mu}} = \mu,$$

and

$$\mathrm{d}Y_t^{\hat{\mu}} = b(Y_t^{\hat{\mu}}, \hat{\mu}_t) \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t, \quad \mathscr{L}_{Y_0^{\hat{\mu}}} = \hat{\mu}.$$

Let

 $b_t(x)=b_t^\mu(x):=b(x,\mu_t),\qquad \tilde{b}_t(x)=b_t^\mu(x)=b(x,\hat{\mu}_t),\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^d,\quad t\ge 0.$

Thus, two SDEs above can be reformulated into our framework. The SDE above is called the decoupled SDE associated with the McKean-Vlasov SDE.

Remark: total variation

• For any
$$t \ge s$$
,

$$d\psi(|r_t|) = \frac{\psi'(|r_t|)}{|r_t|} \langle r_t, b_t(\tilde{X}_t) - \tilde{b}_t(\tilde{Y}_t^{\nu,\kappa}) \rangle \mathbf{1}_{\{r_t \neq \mathbf{0}\}} dt$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \nu_{(r_t)_{\kappa}} (\mathbb{R}^d) \big(\psi(|r_t| + \kappa \wedge |r_t|) + \psi(|r_t| - \kappa \wedge |r_t|) - 2\psi(|r_t|) \big) dt + \cdots$$

• Lévy kernel $\mu(r, \mathrm{d}z)$ associated with the coupling process is given by

$$\mu(r, \mathrm{d}z) = \frac{1}{2}\mu_r(\mathbb{R}^d) \big(\delta_r(\mathrm{d}z) + \delta_{-r}(\mathrm{d}z) \big), \qquad r \ge 0.$$

• Let $\overline{\mathscr{L}}$ be the Lévy-type operator expressed as follows: for $h \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $r \ge 0$,

$$(\overline{\mathscr{D}}h)(r) = h'(r)(\phi(r) + M) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (h(r+z) - h(r))\overline{\mu}(r, \mathrm{d}z).$$

where $\phi(r) := K_1 \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \le r \le \ell_0\}} - K_2 r \mathbb{1}_{\{r > \ell_0\}}$, and the Lévy measure $\overline{\mu}$ fulfils

 $\overline{\mu}(r, [x, \infty)) \ge 1_{\{0 \le x \le r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), \ r \ge 0, \ x \ge 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r, (-\infty, x)) = 0, \ r \ge 0, \ x < 0.$

Jian Wang (Fujian Normal University) Quantitative estimates for Lévy driven SDEs

Remark: total variation

- For any $t \ge s$, $d\psi(|r_t|) = \frac{\psi'(|r_t|)}{|r_t|} \langle r_t, b_t(\tilde{X}_t) - \tilde{b}_t(\tilde{Y}_t^{\nu,\kappa}) \rangle \mathbf{1}_{\{r_t \neq \mathbf{0}\}} dt$ $+ \frac{1}{2} \nu_{(r_t)_{\kappa}}(\mathbb{R}^d) (\psi(|r_t| + \kappa \wedge |r_t|) + \psi(|r_t| - \kappa \wedge |r_t|) - 2\psi(|r_t|)) dt + \cdots$
- \bullet Lévy kernel $\mu(r,\mathrm{d}z)$ associated with the coupling process is given by

$$\mu(r, \mathrm{d}z) = \frac{1}{2}\mu_r(\mathbb{R}^d) \big(\delta_r(\mathrm{d}z) + \delta_{-r}(\mathrm{d}z)\big), \qquad r \ge 0.$$

• Let $\overline{\mathscr{L}}$ be the Lévy-type operator expressed as follows: for $h \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $r \ge 0$,

$$(\overline{\mathscr{L}}h)(r) = h'(r)(\phi(r) + M) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (h(r+z) - h(r))\overline{\mu}(r, \mathrm{d}z).$$

where $\phi(r) := K_1 \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \le r \le \ell_0\}} - K_2 r \mathbb{1}_{\{r > \ell_0\}}$, and the Lévy measure $\overline{\mu}$ fulfils

 $\overline{\mu}(r, [x, \infty)) \ge 1_{\{0 \le x \le r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), \ r \ge 0, \ x \ge 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r, (-\infty, x)) = 0, \ r \ge 0, \ x < 0.$

Remark: total variation

- For any $t \ge s$, $d\psi(|r_t|) = \frac{\psi'(|r_t|)}{|r_t|} \langle r_t, b_t(\tilde{X}_t) - \tilde{b}_t(\tilde{Y}_t^{\nu,\kappa}) \rangle \mathbf{1}_{\{r_t \neq \mathbf{0}\}} dt$ $+ \frac{1}{2} \nu_{(r_t)_{\kappa}}(\mathbb{R}^d) (\psi(|r_t| + \kappa \wedge |r_t|) + \psi(|r_t| - \kappa \wedge |r_t|) - 2\psi(|r_t|)) dt + \cdots$
- \bullet Lévy kernel $\mu(r,\mathrm{d}z)$ associated with the coupling process is given by

$$\mu(r, \mathrm{d}z) = \frac{1}{2}\mu_r(\mathbb{R}^d) \big(\delta_r(\mathrm{d}z) + \delta_{-r}(\mathrm{d}z)\big), \qquad r \ge 0.$$

• Let $\overline{\mathscr{L}}$ be the Lévy-type operator expressed as follows: for $h \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $r \ge 0$,

$$(\overline{\mathscr{L}}h)(r) = h'(r)(\phi(r) + M) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (h(r+z) - h(r))\overline{\mu}(r, \mathrm{d}z).$$

where $\phi(r):=K_1 1_{\{0\leq r\leq \ell_0\}}-K_2 r 1_{\{r>\ell_0\}},$ and the Lévy measure $\overline{\mu}$ fulfils

 $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \ge 1_{\{0 \le x \le r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), \ r \ge 0, \ x \ge 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, \ r \ge 0, \ x < 0.$

24 / 25

Thank you!

э

æ