# Quantitative estimates for Lévy driven SDEs with different drifts and applications

#### Jian Wang (Fujian Normal University)

### Joint with Jianhai Bao, Xiaobin Sun and Yingchao Xie

<span id="page-0-0"></span>February 25, 2023







×

活

重

∍ ×  $\rightarrow$ 

**4 ロト 4 何 ト 4** 

 $2990$ 

Consider one-dimensional O-U process:

$$
\mathrm{d}X_t = -X_t \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}B_t.
$$

It is known that  $X_t$  exponentially converges to  $\pi := N(0, 1/2)$  as  $t \to \infty$ .

$$
dX_t = ((1+t)^{-1} - 1)X_t dt + dB_t.
$$

It can be proved that the process  $X_t$  is  $W_2$ -strongly ergodic in the sense that

 $\lim_{t\to\infty}W_2(P(s,x;t,\cdot),\pi)=0.$ 

<span id="page-2-0"></span> $-1$   $-1$ 

Consider one-dimensional O-U process:

$$
\mathrm{d}X_t = -X_t \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}B_t.
$$

It is known that  $X_t$  exponentially converges to  $\pi := N(0, 1/2)$  as  $t \to \infty$ .

#### Question:

$$
dX_t = ((1+t)^{-1} - 1)X_t dt + dB_t.
$$

It can be proved that the process  $X_t$  is  $W_2$ -strongly ergodic in the sense that

 $\lim_{t\to\infty}W_2(P(s,x;t,\cdot),\pi)=0.$ 

Consider one-dimensional O-U process:

$$
\mathrm{d}X_t = -X_t \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}B_t.
$$

It is known that  $X_t$  exponentially converges to  $\pi := N(0, 1/2)$  as  $t \to \infty$ .

Question:

$$
dX_t = ((1+t)^{-1} - 1)X_t dt + dB_t.
$$

It can be proved that the process  $X_t$  is  $W_2$ -strongly ergodic in the sense that

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} W_2(P(s, x; t, \cdot), \pi) = 0.
$$

It can be proved that the process  $X_t$  is  $W_2$ -strongly ergodic in the sense that

 $\lim_{t\to\infty} W_2(P(s,x;t,\cdot),\pi) = 0.$ 

Strong ergodicity:

$$
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \|P(t, x, \cdot) - \pi\|_{\text{Var}} \le Ce^{-\lambda t}.
$$

Nonhomogeneous Markov chain: Isofescu (1980/2007): Finite Markov chains and their applications.

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} ||P(s, x; t, \cdot) - \pi||_{\text{Var}} = 0.
$$

Note: In general we cannot hope to find a single invariant measure.  $(\pi_s)_{s>0}$  is a system of invariant measures (Da Prato-Röckner, 08):

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{s,t} f(x) \, \pi_t(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, \pi_s(\mathrm{d}x), \quad s \leq t.
$$

 $\Omega$ 

イロメ イ母メ イヨメ イヨ

It can be proved that the process  $X_t$  is  $W_2$ -strongly ergodic in the sense that

 $\lim_{t\to\infty} W_2(P(s,x;t,\cdot),\pi) = 0.$ 

Strong ergodicity:

$$
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \|P(t, x, \cdot) - \pi\|_{\text{Var}} \le Ce^{-\lambda t}.
$$

Nonhomogeneous Markov chain: Isofescu (1980/2007): Finite Markov chains and their applications.

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} ||P(s, x; t, \cdot) - \pi||_{\text{Var}} = 0.
$$

Note: In general we cannot hope to find a single invariant measure.  $(\pi_s)_{s>0}$  is a system of invariant measures (Da Prato-Röckner, 08):

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{s,t} f(x) \, \pi_t(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, \pi_s(\mathrm{d}x), \quad s \leq t.
$$

 $\Omega$ 

イロメ イ母メ イヨメ イヨ

It can be proved that the process  $X_t$  is  $W_2$ -strongly ergodic in the sense that

 $\lim_{t\to\infty} W_2(P(s,x;t,\cdot),\pi) = 0.$ 

Strong ergodicity:

$$
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \|P(t, x, \cdot) - \pi\|_{\text{Var}} \le Ce^{-\lambda t}.
$$

Nonhomogeneous Markov chain: Isofescu (1980/2007): Finite Markov chains and their applications.

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} ||P(s, x; t, \cdot) - \pi||_{\text{Var}} = 0.
$$

Note: In general we cannot hope to find a single invariant measure.  $(\pi_s)_{s>0}$  is a system of invariant measures (Da Prato-Röckner, 08):

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{s,t} f(x) \, \pi_t(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, \pi_s(\mathrm{d}x), \quad s \leq t.
$$

 $QQ$ 

**K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト K ヨ** 

It can be proved that the process  $X_t$  is  $W_2$ -strongly ergodic in the sense that

 $\lim_{t\to\infty} W_2(P(s,x;t,\cdot),\pi) = 0.$ 

Strong ergodicity:

$$
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \|P(t, x, \cdot) - \pi\|_{\text{Var}} \le Ce^{-\lambda t}.
$$

Nonhomogeneous Markov chain: Isofescu (1980/2007): Finite Markov chains and their applications.

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} ||P(s, x; t, \cdot) - \pi||_{\text{Var}} = 0.
$$

Note: In general we cannot hope to find a single invariant measure.  $(\pi_s)_{s>0}$  is a system of invariant measures (Da Prato-Röckner, 08):

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{s,t} f(x) \, \pi_t(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, \pi_s(\mathrm{d}x), \quad s \leq t.
$$

Note:  $(\pi_s)_{s>0}$  is a system of invariant measures (Da Prato-Röckner, 08):

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{s,t} f(x) \, \pi_t(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, \pi_s(\mathrm{d}x), \quad s \leq t.
$$

Example: Time-dependent stable-like process

$$
\mathcal{L}_t f(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x+z) - f(x)) K(t,x,z) \frac{1}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} dz,
$$

where for every  $t > 0$ ,  $K(t, \cdot, \cdot)$  is multivariate 1-periodic.

$$
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |P_{s,t}f(x) - \mu_s(f)| \le c_0 e^{-c_1(t-s)} \|f\|_{\infty}.
$$

4 D F

Note:  $(\pi_s)_{s>0}$  is a system of invariant measures (Da Prato-Röckner, 08):

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{s,t} f(x) \, \pi_t(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, \pi_s(\mathrm{d}x), \quad s \leq t.
$$

Example: Time-dependent stable-like process

$$
\mathcal{L}_t f(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x+z) - f(x)) K(t, x, z) \frac{1}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} dz,
$$

where for every  $t > 0$ ,  $K(t, \cdot, \cdot)$  is multivariate 1-periodic.

$$
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |P_{s,t}f(x) - \mu_s(f)| \le c_0 e^{-c_1(t-s)} \|f\|_{\infty}.
$$

4 D F

Note:  $(\pi_s)_{s>0}$  is a system of invariant measures (Da Prato-Röckner, 08):

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{s,t} f(x) \, \pi_t(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, \pi_s(\mathrm{d}x), \quad s \leq t.
$$

Example: Time-dependent stable-like process

$$
\mathcal{L}_t f(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x+z) - f(x)) K(t, x, z) \frac{1}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} dz,
$$

where for every  $t > 0$ ,  $K(t, \cdot, \cdot)$  is multivariate 1-periodic.

$$
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |P_{s,t}f(x) - \mu_s(f)| \le c_0 e^{-c_1(t-s)} \|f\|_{\infty}.
$$

4 D F

$$
dX_t = ((1+t)^{-1} - 1)X_t dt + dB_t.
$$

It can be proved that the process  $X_t$  is  $W_2$ -strongly ergodic in the sense that

 $\lim_{t\to\infty} W_2(P(s,x;t,\cdot),\pi) = 0.$ 

Question: Convergence rate?

Question: Beyond the variant of O-U process?

 $-1$   $-1$ 

$$
dX_t = ((1+t)^{-1} - 1)X_t dt + dB_t.
$$

It can be proved that the process  $X_t$  is  $W_2$ -strongly ergodic in the sense that

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} W_2(P(s, x; t, \cdot), \pi) = 0.
$$

Question: Convergence rate?

Question: Beyond the variant of O-U process?

 $-1$   $-1$ 

$$
dX_t = ((1+t)^{-1} - 1)X_t dt + dB_t.
$$

It can be proved that the process  $X_t$  is  $W_2$ -strongly ergodic in the sense that

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} W_2(P(s, x; t, \cdot), \pi) = 0.
$$

 $\blacksquare$ 

Question: Convergence rate?

Question: Beyond the variant of O-U process?

One-dimensional time-inhomogeneous process

$$
dX_{s,t} = (\phi(t) - X_{s,t}) dt + dB_t, \quad t \ge s \ge 0, \quad X_{s,s} = x.
$$

$$
X_{s,t} = e^{-(t-s)}x + \int_s^t e^{-(t-u)}\phi(u) \, \mathrm{d}u + \int_s^t e^{-(t-u)} \mathrm{d}B_u.
$$

$$
(\delta_x P_{s,t})(dy) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi (1 - e^{-2(t-s)})}} exp\left(-\frac{\left(y - e^{-(t-s)}x - \int_s^t e^{-(t-u)}\phi(u) du\right)^2}{1 - e^{-2(t-s)}}\right) dy
$$

Dowson, D.C. and Landau, B.V.: The Fréchet distance between multivariate normal distributions, J. Multivariate Anal., 12 (1982), 450-455.

$$
\mathbb{W}_2(\delta_x P_{s,t}, \delta_y P_{s,t}) = e^{s-t}|x-y|.
$$

 $\Omega$ 

4 D F

One-dimensional time-inhomogeneous process

$$
dX_{s,t} = (\phi(t) - X_{s,t}) dt + dB_t, \quad t \ge s \ge 0, \quad X_{s,s} = x.
$$

$$
X_{s,t} = e^{-(t-s)}x + \int_s^t e^{-(t-u)}\phi(u) \, \mathrm{d}u + \int_s^t e^{-(t-u)} \mathrm{d}B_u.
$$

$$
(\delta_x P_{s,t})(dy) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi (1 - e^{-2(t-s)})}} exp\left(-\frac{\left(y - e^{-(t-s)}x - \int_s^t e^{-(t-u)}\phi(u) du\right)^2}{1 - e^{-2(t-s)}}\right) dy
$$

Dowson, D.C. and Landau, B.V.: The Fréchet distance between multivariate normal distributions, J. Multivariate Anal., 12 (1982), 450-455.

$$
\mathbb{W}_2(\delta_x P_{s,t}, \delta_y P_{s,t}) = e^{s-t}|x-y|.
$$

4 D F

One-dimensional time-inhomogeneous process

$$
dX_{s,t} = (\phi(t) - X_{s,t}) dt + dB_t, \quad t \ge s \ge 0, \quad X_{s,s} = x.
$$

$$
X_{s,t} = e^{-(t-s)}x + \int_s^t e^{-(t-u)}\phi(u) du + \int_s^t e^{-(t-u)} dB_u.
$$

$$
(\delta_x P_{s,t})(dy) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(1 - e^{-2(t-s)})}} \exp\left(-\frac{\left(y - e^{-(t-s)}x - \int_s^t e^{-(t-u)}\phi(u) du\right)^2}{1 - e^{-2(t-s)}}\right) dy
$$

Dowson, D.C. and Landau, B.V.: The Fréchet distance between multivariate normal distributions, J. Multivariate Anal., 12 (1982), 450-455.

$$
\mathbb{W}_2(\delta_x P_{s,t}, \delta_y P_{s,t}) = e^{s-t}|x-y|.
$$

4 D F

One-dimensional time-inhomogeneous process

$$
dX_{s,t} = (\phi(t) - X_{s,t}) dt + dB_t, \quad t \ge s \ge 0, \quad X_{s,s} = x.
$$

$$
X_{s,t} = e^{-(t-s)}x + \int_s^t e^{-(t-u)}\phi(u) du + \int_s^t e^{-(t-u)} dB_u.
$$

$$
(\delta_x P_{s,t})(dy) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(1 - e^{-2(t-s)})}} \exp\left(-\frac{\left(y - e^{-(t-s)}x - \int_s^t e^{-(t-u)}\phi(u) du\right)^2}{1 - e^{-2(t-s)}}\right) dy
$$

$$
\sqrt{\pi}(1-e^{-2(e-s)})
$$

Dowson, D.C. and Landau, B.V.: The Fréchet distance between multivariate normal distributions, J. Multivariate Anal., 12 (1982), 450-455.

$$
\mathbb{W}_2(\delta_x P_{s,t}, \delta_y P_{s,t}) = e^{s-t}|x-y|.
$$

 $\Omega$ 

イロメ イ母メ イヨメ イヨ

One-dimensional time-inhomogeneous process

$$
dX_{s,t} = (\phi(t) - X_{s,t}) dt + dB_t, \quad t \ge s \ge 0, \quad X_{s,s} = x.
$$

When  $\lim_{t\to\infty}\phi(t)=0$ , the limit process is expected to be

$$
dY_t = -Y_t dt + dB_t, \quad t \ge s, \quad Y_s = x.
$$

 $\mathbb{W}_2(\delta_x P_{s,t}, \pi)^2 = e^{-2(t-s)}x^2 + 2e^{-(t-s)}x\int_0^t$ s  $e^{-(t-u)}\phi(u)\,\mathrm{d}u$  $+$  $\int_s^t e^{-(t-u)}\phi(u)\,\mathrm{d} u\Big|$ s 2  $+\frac{1}{2}$ 2  $\left(1 - \left(1 - e^{-2(t-s)}\right)^{1/2}\right)^2$ .

One-dimensional time-inhomogeneous process

$$
dX_{s,t} = (\phi(t) - X_{s,t}) dt + dB_t, \quad t \ge s \ge 0, \quad X_{s,s} = x.
$$

When  $\lim_{t\to\infty}\phi(t)=0$ , the limit process is expected to be

$$
dY_t = -Y_t dt + dB_t, \quad t \ge s, \quad Y_s = x.
$$

4 D F

## Long-time behavior of time-inhomogeneous SDEs

Consider one-dimensional time-inhomogeneous process:

$$
dX_t = (\phi(t) - X_t) dt + dZ_t,
$$

where  $\phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  and  $(Z_t)_{t>0}$  is a one-dimensional Lévy process.

If  $\lim_{t\to\infty}\phi(t)=0$ , then it is naturally expected that the process  $(X_t)_{t>0}$  above enjoys the same long time behavior as that of the time-homogeneous O-U process

$$
\mathrm{d}\overline{X}_t = -\overline{X}_t \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t.
$$

It is well known that the process  $(\overline{X}_t)_{t>0}$  admits a unique invariant probability measure, written as  $\pi$ , and it converges exponentially to  $\pi$ .

Subsequently, a spontaneous question one might ask is that, under what conditions, the transition kernel of the time-inhomogeneous process  $(X_t)_{t\geq0}$  will converge to the invariant probability measure  $\pi$ .

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

## Long-time behavior of time-inhomogeneous SDEs

Consider one-dimensional time-inhomogeneous process:

$$
dX_t = (\phi(t) - X_t) dt + dZ_t,
$$

where  $\phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  and  $(Z_t)_{t>0}$  is a one-dimensional Lévy process.

If  $\lim_{t\to\infty}\phi(t)=0$ , then it is naturally expected that the process  $(X_t)_{t>0}$  above enjoys the same long time behavior as that of the time-homogeneous O-U process

<span id="page-22-0"></span>
$$
\mathrm{d}\overline{X}_t = -\overline{X}_t \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t.
$$

It is well known that the process  $(\overline{X}_t)_{t>0}$  admits a unique invariant probability measure, written as  $\pi$ , and it converges exponentially to  $\pi$ .

Subsequently, a spontaneous question one might ask is that, under what conditions, the transition kernel of the time-inhomogeneous process  $(X_t)_{t\geq0}$  will converge to the invariant probability measure  $\pi$ .

## Ergodicity of the McKean-Vlasov SDE

Consider

$$
\mathrm{d}X_t = b(X_t, \mathscr{L}_{X_t}) \, \mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t,
$$

where  $\mathscr{L}_{X_t}$  means the law of  $X_t$  and  $(Z_t)_{t\geq0}$  is a d-dimensional Lévy process. Due to the intervention of the measure variable, the solution process  $(X_t)_{t\geq0}$  is a nonlinear Markov process whose transition kernel may depend not only on the current state of the process but also on the current distribution of the process.

Provided that the McKean-Vlasov SDE is weakly wellposed, the weak solution  $(X_t)_{t\geq0}$  shares the same distribution as that of the corresponding decoupled SDE

<span id="page-23-0"></span>
$$
dY_t^{\mu} = b(Y_t^{\mu}, \mu_t) dt + dZ_t, \quad \mathscr{L}_{Y_0^{\mu}} = \mu,
$$

where  $\mu_t := \mathscr{L}_{X_t}$  with the initial distribution  $\mathscr{L}_{Y_0} = \mu$ . That is, we have  $\mathscr{L}_{X_t} =$  $\mathscr{L}_{Y^\mu_t}$  when  $\mathscr{L}_{X_0} = \mathscr{L}_{Y^\mu_0} = \mu.$ 

Therefore, the exploration on the McKean-Vlasov SDE amounts to the counterpart of the corresponding decoupled SDE. Note that the drifts of the decoupled SDEs are not the same once the initial distributions involv[ed](#page-22-0) [are](#page-24-0)[di](#page-23-0)[ff](#page-25-0)[e](#page-26-0)[ren](#page-0-0)[t.](#page-49-0)  $\equiv$  990 Jian Wang (Fujian Normal University) Quantitative estimates for Lévy driven SDEs February 25, 2023 11 / 25

## Ergodicity of the McKean-Vlasov SDE

Consider

$$
\mathrm{d}X_t = b(X_t, \mathscr{L}_{X_t}) \, \mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t,
$$

where  $\mathscr{L}_{X_t}$  means the law of  $X_t$  and  $(Z_t)_{t>0}$  is a d-dimensional Lévy process. Due to the intervention of the measure variable, the solution process  $(X_t)_{t\geq0}$  is a nonlinear Markov process whose transition kernel may depend not only on the current state of the process but also on the current distribution of the process.

Provided that the McKean-Vlasov SDE is weakly wellposed, the weak solution  $(X_t)_{t\geq0}$  shares the same distribution as that of the corresponding decoupled SDE

<span id="page-24-0"></span>
$$
dY_t^{\mu} = b(Y_t^{\mu}, \mu_t) dt + dZ_t, \quad \mathscr{L}_{Y_0^{\mu}} = \mu,
$$

where  $\mu_t := \mathscr{L}_{X_t}$  with the initial distribution  $\mathscr{L}_{Y_0} = \mu$ . That is, we have  $\mathscr{L}_{X_t} =$  $\mathscr{L}_{Y^\mu_t}$  when  $\mathscr{L}_{X_0} = \mathscr{L}_{Y^\mu_0} = \mu.$ 

Therefore, the exploration on the McKean-Vlasov SDE amounts to the counterpart of the corresponding decoupled SDE. Note that the drifts of the decoupled SDEs are not the same once the initial distributions involv[ed](#page-23-0) [are](#page-25-0)[di](#page-23-0)[ff](#page-25-0)[e](#page-26-0)[ren](#page-0-0)[t.](#page-49-0)  $E = 990$ Jian Wang (Fujian Normal University) Quantitative estimates for Lévy driven SDEs February 25, 2023 11 / 25

## Ergodicity of the McKean-Vlasov SDE

Consider

$$
\mathrm{d}X_t = b(X_t, \mathscr{L}_{X_t}) \, \mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t,
$$

where  $\mathscr{L}_{X_t}$  means the law of  $X_t$  and  $(Z_t)_{t>0}$  is a d-dimensional Lévy process. Due to the intervention of the measure variable, the solution process  $(X_t)_{t>0}$  is a nonlinear Markov process whose transition kernel may depend not only on the current state of the process but also on the current distribution of the process.

Provided that the McKean-Vlasov SDE is weakly wellposed, the weak solution  $(X_t)_{t\geq0}$  shares the same distribution as that of the corresponding decoupled SDE

<span id="page-25-0"></span>
$$
dY_t^{\mu} = b(Y_t^{\mu}, \mu_t) dt + dZ_t, \quad \mathscr{L}_{Y_0^{\mu}} = \mu,
$$

where  $\mu_t := \mathscr{L}_{X_t}$  with the initial distribution  $\mathscr{L}_{Y_0} = \mu$ . That is, we have  $\mathscr{L}_{X_t} =$  $\mathscr{L}_{Y^\mu_t}$  when  $\mathscr{L}_{X_0} = \mathscr{L}_{Y^\mu_0} = \mu.$ 

Therefore, the exploration on the McKean-Vlasov SDE amounts to the counterpart of the corresponding decoupled SDE. Note that the drifts of the decoupled SDEs are not the same once the initial distributions involv[ed](#page-24-0) [are](#page-26-0)[di](#page-23-0)[ff](#page-25-0)[e](#page-26-0)[ren](#page-0-0)[t.](#page-49-0)  $\geq$  $QQ$ 

## **Setting**

In this talk, we are interested in the following SDEs on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

 $dX_t = b_t(X_t) dt + dZ_t,$ 

and

$$
dY_t = \tilde{b}_t(Y_t) dt + dZ_t,
$$

where  $b,\tilde{b}:[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$  are measurable, and  $(Z_t)_{t\geq0}$  is a  $d$ -dimensional pure iump Lévy process with the Lévy measure  $\nu$ .

• Eberle, A. and Zimmer, R.: Sticky couplings of multidimensional diffusions with different drifts, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré

• Lefter, M., Šiška, D. and Szpruch, L.: Decaying derivative estimates for functions of solutions to non-autonomous SDEs,

• Suzuki, K.: Weak convergence of approximate reflection coupling and its application to non-convex optimization,

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

<span id="page-26-0"></span> $QQ$ 

÷

## **Setting**

In this talk, we are interested in the following SDEs on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

 $dX_t = b_t(X_t) dt + dZ_t,$ 

and

$$
dY_t = \tilde{b}_t(Y_t) dt + dZ_t,
$$

where  $b,\tilde{b}:[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$  are measurable, and  $(Z_t)_{t\geq0}$  is a  $d$ -dimensional pure iump Lévy process with the Lévy measure  $\nu$ .

• Eberle, A. and Zimmer, R.: Sticky couplings of multidimensional diffusions with different drifts, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat., 55 (2019), 2370–2394.

• Lefter, M., Šiška, D. and Szpruch, L.: Decaying derivative estimates for functions of solutions to non-autonomous SDEs, arXiv:2207.1287

• Suzuki, K.: Weak convergence of approximate reflection coupling and its application to non-convex optimization,

arXiv:2205.11970

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

 $\equiv$   $\Omega$ 

### **Assumptions**

For the drift  $b_t(x)$  and the Lévy measure  $\nu(\mathrm{d}z)$ , we assume that

 $(A_1)$  (i) there exist constants  $K_1, \ell_0 \geq 0$  and  $K_2 > 0$  such that for all  $t \geq 0$ and  $x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  ,

$$
\langle x-y, b_t(x)-b_t(y)\rangle \le (K_1 1_{\{|x-y|\le \ell_0\}} - K_2 1_{\{|x-y| > \ell_0\}})|x-y|^2.
$$

(ii) there exist a constant  $\kappa > 0$  and a nondecreasing and concave function  $\sigma \in C([0,2\ell_0]; \mathbb{R}_+) \cap C^2((0,2\ell_0]; \mathbb{R}_+)$  such that  $[0,2\ell_0] \ni r \mapsto$  $\int_0^r \frac{1}{\sigma(s)} ds$  is integrable, and

$$
\sigma(r) \le \frac{1}{2r} J^{\nu}(r \wedge \kappa)(\kappa \wedge r)^2, \quad r \in (0, 2\ell_0],
$$

where

<span id="page-28-0"></span>
$$
J^{\nu}(s) := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, |x| \le s} \left( \nu \wedge (\delta_x * \nu) \right) (\mathbb{R}^d), \quad s > 0.
$$

## **Assumptions**

For the drift  $b_t(x)$  and the Lévy measure  $\nu(\mathrm{d}z)$ , we assume that

 $(A_1)$  (i) there exist constants  $K_1, \ell_0 \geq 0$  and  $K_2 > 0$  such that for all  $t \geq 0$ and  $x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  ,

$$
\langle x-y, b_t(x)-b_t(y)\rangle \le (K_1 1_{\{|x-y|\le \ell_0\}} - K_2 1_{\{|x-y| > \ell_0\}})|x-y|^2.
$$

(ii) there exist a constant  $\kappa > 0$  and a nondecreasing and concave function  $\sigma \in C([0, 2\ell_0]; \mathbb{R}_+) \cap C^2((0, 2\ell_0]; \mathbb{R}_+)$  such that  $[0, 2\ell_0] \ni r \mapsto$  $\int_0^r \frac{1}{\sigma(s)} ds$  is integrable, and

$$
\sigma(r) \le \frac{1}{2r} J^{\nu}(r \wedge \kappa)(\kappa \wedge r)^2, \quad r \in (0, 2\ell_0],
$$

where

$$
J^{\nu}(s):=\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d,|x|\leq s}\big(\nu\wedge(\delta_x*\nu)\big)(\mathbb{R}^d),\quad s>0.
$$

 $(\mathbf{A}_2)$  there exist a  $C^2$ -function  $W:\mathbb{R}^d\to [0,\infty)$ , locally integrable functions  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3 : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  and a locally integrable function  $\lambda_W : [0, \infty) \to$  $\mathbb R$  such that for all  $t\geq 0$  and  $x\in \mathbb R^d,$ 

$$
|b_t(x) - \tilde{b}_t(x)| \le \phi_1(t) + \phi_2(t)W(x),
$$

and

$$
(\mathscr{L}_t^{\tilde{b}} W)(x) \le \phi_3(t) + \lambda_W(t)W(x),
$$

where  $\mathscr{L}^{\tilde{b}}_t$  means the infinitesimal generator of  $(Y_t)_{t\geq 0}.$ 

## Main result

#### Theorem 1

Assume that  $(\mathbf{A_1})$  and  $(\mathbf{A_2})$  hold. Then, for all  $t>s$  and  $x,y\in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$
\mathbb{W}_{1}(\delta_{x}P_{s,t}^{X},\delta_{y}P_{s,t}^{Y})
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{1+c^{*}}{2c^{*}}\bigg[e^{-\lambda(t-s)}\,|x-y| + \int_{s}^{t}e^{-\lambda(t-r)}\,\phi_{1}(r)\,\mathrm{d}r
$$
\n
$$
+ \int_{s}^{t}\phi_{2}(r)\,\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(t-r)}\,\Big(\,\mathrm{e}^{\int_{s}^{r}\lambda_{W}(u)\,\mathrm{d}u}\,W(y) + \int_{s}^{r}\phi_{3}(u)\,\mathrm{e}^{\int_{u}^{r}\lambda_{W}(v)\,\mathrm{d}v}\,\mathrm{d}u\Big)\,\mathrm{d}r\bigg],
$$

where

$$
c^* := e^{-g(2\ell_0)}, \quad \lambda := \frac{(1 \wedge (2K_2))c^*}{1+c^*}, \quad g(2\ell_0) := (1+2K_1) \int_0^{2\ell_0} \frac{1}{\sigma(s)} ds.
$$

4 D F

**∢ 何 ≯ →** 

 $299$ 

活

#### Corollary 1

Consider the time-homogeneous versions of two SDEs above. Assume that  $(A_1)$ and  $(\mathbf{A}_2)$  hold with  $\lambda_W(t) \equiv \lambda_W < 0$ ,  $\phi_1(t) \equiv \kappa_1, \phi_2(t) \equiv \kappa_2$ , and  $\phi_3(t) \equiv C_W$ for some constants  $C_W, \kappa_1, \kappa_2 > 0$ . Then for all  $t > 0$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

<span id="page-32-0"></span>
$$
\mathbb{W}_1(\delta_x P_t^X, \delta_y P_t^Y) \le \frac{1 + c^*}{2c^*} \left\{ e^{-\lambda t} |x - y| + \lambda^{-1} (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 C_W / \lambda_W) (1 - e^{-\lambda t}) + \kappa_2 e^{\lambda_W t} W(y) \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda + \lambda_W} (1 - e^{-(\lambda + \lambda_W)t}) \right] \right\}.
$$

Let  $(X_t)_{t>0}$  be the unique strong solution to the time-inhomogeneous SDE, which fulfills Assumption  $(A_1)$ . Assume that the following time-homogeneous SDE on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$
\mathrm{d}\overline{X}_t = \overline{b}(\overline{X}_t) \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t
$$

with  $\bar b: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$  has a unique strong solution, which is denoted by  $(\overline{X}_t)_{t\geq 0}.$ We assume that

(C) there are a  $C^2$ -function  $W : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0,\infty)$  and a bounded function  $\phi$ :  $[0, \infty) \mapsto [0, \infty)$  that satisfies  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \phi(t) = 0$ , so that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t \geq 0$ ,

<span id="page-33-0"></span>

 $(\overline{\mathscr{L}}^b W)(x) \leq c_0 - \theta W(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$  $(\overline{\mathscr{L}}^b W)(x) \leq c_0 - \theta W(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$  $(\overline{\mathscr{L}}^b W)(x) \leq c_0 - \theta W(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$  $(\overline{\mathscr{L}}^b W)(x) \leq c_0 - \theta W(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$  $(\overline{\mathscr{L}}^b W)(x) \leq c_0 - \theta W(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$  $(\overline{\mathscr{L}}^b W)(x) \leq c_0 - \theta W(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$ 

and there are constants  $c_0, \theta > 0$  such that

Let  $(X_t)_{t>0}$  be the unique strong solution to the time-inhomogeneous SDE, which fulfills Assumption  $(A_1)$ . Assume that the following time-homogeneous SDE on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$
\mathrm{d}\overline{X}_t = \overline{b}(\overline{X}_t) \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t
$$

with  $\bar b: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$  has a unique strong solution, which is denoted by  $(\overline{X}_t)_{t\geq 0}.$ We assume that

(C) there are a  $C^2$ -function  $W : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0,\infty)$  and a bounded function  $\phi$ :  $[0, \infty) \mapsto [0, \infty)$  that satisfies  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \phi(t) = 0$ , so that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t \geq 0$ ,  $|b_t(x) - \overline{b}(x)| \leq \phi(t)W(x)$ 

and there are constants  $c_0, \theta > 0$  such that

<span id="page-34-0"></span>
$$
\left(\overline{\mathscr{L}}^{\overline{b}}W\right)(x) \leq c_0 - \theta W(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

#### Theorem 2

Assume that  $(\mathbf{A}_1)$  and  $(\mathbf{C})$  hold. Then, for all  $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t>s\geq 0$ ,

$$
\mathbb{W}_1(\delta_x P_{s,t}^X, \pi) \le C(x) e^{-\lambda(t-s)} + \frac{1 + c^*}{2c^*} \left[ \frac{\|\phi\|_{\infty} W(x) e^{-\lambda(t-s)} (e^{(\lambda - \theta)(t-s)} - 1)}{\lambda - \theta} + \frac{c_0}{\theta} \int_s^t \phi(r) e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr \right],
$$

where  $\pi$  is the unique invariant probability measure of the process  $(\overline{X}_t)_{t>0}$ . In particular,

$$
\mathbb{W}_1(\delta_x P^X_{s,t}, \pi) \to 0, \quad t \to \infty.
$$

Furthermore, if  $\phi(t) = c_1 e^{-\lambda_0 t}$  for some constants  $c_1, \lambda_0 > 0$ , then for any  $0 < \lambda_* < \min\{\lambda, \lambda_0, \theta\}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t > s$ ,

<span id="page-35-0"></span> $\mathbb{W}_1(\delta_x P^X_{s,t}, \pi) \leq C_*(x) e^{-\lambda_*(t-s)}.$  $\mathbb{W}_1(\delta_x P^X_{s,t}, \pi) \leq C_*(x) e^{-\lambda_*(t-s)}.$ 

#### Theorem 2

Assume that  $(\mathbf{A}_1)$  and  $(\mathbf{C})$  hold. Then, for all  $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t>s\geq 0$ ,

$$
\mathbb{W}_1(\delta_x P_{s,t}^X, \pi) \le C(x) e^{-\lambda(t-s)} + \frac{1 + c^*}{2c^*} \left[ \frac{\|\phi\|_{\infty} W(x) e^{-\lambda(t-s)} (e^{(\lambda - \theta)(t-s)} - 1)}{\lambda - \theta} + \frac{c_0}{\theta} \int_s^t \phi(r) e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr \right],
$$

where  $\pi$  is the unique invariant probability measure of the process  $(\overline{X}_t)_{t>0}$ . In particular,

$$
\mathbb{W}_1(\delta_x P^X_{s,t}, \pi) \to 0, \quad t \to \infty.
$$

Furthermore, if  $\phi(t) = c_1 e^{-\lambda_0 t}$  for some constants  $c_1, \lambda_0 > 0$ , then for any  $0 < \lambda_* < \min\{\lambda, \lambda_0, \theta\}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t > s$ ,

<span id="page-36-0"></span> $\mathbb{W}_1(\delta_x P^X_{s,t}, \pi) \leq C_*(x) e^{-\lambda_*(t-s)}.$  $\mathbb{W}_1(\delta_x P^X_{s,t}, \pi) \leq C_*(x) e^{-\lambda_*(t-s)}.$ 

#### Theorem 2

Assume that  $(\mathbf{A}_1)$  and  $(\mathbf{C})$  hold. Then, for all  $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t>s\geq 0$ ,

$$
\mathbb{W}_1(\delta_x P_{s,t}^X, \pi) \le C(x) e^{-\lambda(t-s)} + \frac{1 + c^*}{2c^*} \left[ \frac{\|\phi\|_{\infty} W(x) e^{-\lambda(t-s)} (e^{(\lambda - \theta)(t-s)} - 1)}{\lambda - \theta} + \frac{c_0}{\theta} \int_s^t \phi(r) e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr \right],
$$

where  $\pi$  is the unique invariant probability measure of the process  $(\overline{X}_t)_{t>0}$ . In particular,

$$
\mathbb{W}_1(\delta_x P^X_{s,t}, \pi) \to 0, \quad t \to \infty.
$$

Furthermore, if  $\phi(t)\,=\,c_1\,{\rm e}^{-\lambda_0 t}$  for some constants  $c_1,\lambda_0\,>\,0$ , then for any  $0 < \lambda_* < \min\{\lambda, \lambda_0, \theta\}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t > s$ ,

<span id="page-37-0"></span>
$$
\mathbb{W}_1(\delta_x P^X_{s,t}, \pi) \le C_*(x) e^{-\lambda_*(t-s)}.
$$

## Application: Exponential ergodicity of McKean-Vlasov SDEs with partially dissipative drift

Consider the following McKean-Vlasov SDE

$$
\mathrm{d}X_t = b(X_t, \mathscr{L}_{X_t}) \, \mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t
$$

so that

- $(B_1)$  the Lévy measure  $\nu$  satisfies Assumption  $({\bf A}_1)($ ii) and  $\int_{\{|z|>1\}}|z|\,\nu(\mathrm{d} z)<$ ∞.
- $(\mathbf{B}_2)$  there exist constants  $K_1, \ell_0 \geq 0$  and  $K_2 > 0$  such that for all  $\mu \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and  $x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  ,

$$
\langle x-y, b(x,\mu)-b(y,\mu) \rangle \le (K_1 1_{\{|x-y| \le \ell_0\}} - K_2 1_{\{|x-y| > \ell_0\}})|x-y|^2,
$$

and there exists a constant  $L_0\geq 0$  such that for all  $\mu,\nu\in \mathscr{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

<span id="page-38-0"></span>
$$
|b(x,\mu)-b(x,\nu)|\leq L_0\mathbb{W}_{1}(\underline{\mu},\nu)_{\mathbb{B}^{\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{2}}\leq \frac{1}{2}}\quad \text{as}\quad
$$

## Application: Exponential ergodicity of McKean-Vlasov SDEs with partially dissipative drift

#### Theorem 3

Assume that  $(\mathbf{B}_1)$  and  $(\mathbf{B}_2)$  hold. Then, for all  $t\geq 0$  and  $\mu,\hat\mu\in\mathscr{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d),$ 

<span id="page-39-0"></span>
$$
\mathbb{W}_1(\mu_t,\hat{\mu}_t) \leq \frac{1+c^*}{2c^*}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda - \frac{(1+c^*)L_0}{2c^*}\right)t}\,\mathbb{W}_1(\mu,\hat{\mu}).
$$

• Consider the following SDE

$$
\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}\tilde{X}_t = b_t(\tilde{X}_t) \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t \\ \mathrm{d}\tilde{Y}_t^{\tilde{\nu},\kappa} = \tilde{b}_t(\tilde{Y}_t^{\tilde{\nu},\kappa}) \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times [0,1]} U^{\tilde{\nu}}((\tilde{X}_{t-} - \tilde{Y}_{t-}^{\tilde{\nu},\kappa}), z, u)N(\mathrm{d}t, \mathrm{d}z, \mathrm{d}u) \end{cases}
$$

has a unique strong solution  $(\tilde{X}_t, \tilde{Y}^{\tilde{\nu}, \kappa}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , where for  $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $u \in [0,1],$ 

$$
U^{\tilde{\nu}}(x, z, u) := x \left( 1_{\{u \le \frac{1}{2}\rho^{\tilde{\nu}}(-x, z)\}} - 1_{\{\frac{1}{2}\rho^{\tilde{\nu}}(-x, z) < u \le \frac{1}{2}(\rho^{\tilde{\nu}}(-x, z) + \rho^{\tilde{\nu}}(x, z))\}} \right)
$$
\nwith  $\rho^{\tilde{\nu}}(x, z) := \frac{\tilde{\nu}_x(\mathrm{d}z)}{\nu(\mathrm{d}z)} \in [0, 1].$ 

• Luo, D. and Wang, J.: Refined couplings and Wasserstein-type distances for SDEs with Lévy noises, Stoch. Process. Appl., 129 (2019), 3129–3173.

• Liang, M., Majka, M.B. and Wang, J.: Exponential ergodicity for SDEs and McKean-Vlasov processes with Lévy noise, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat., 57 (2021), 1665-1701.

<span id="page-40-0"></span>**K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト K ヨ** 

• Consider the following SDE

$$
\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}\tilde{X}_t = b_t(\tilde{X}_t) \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t \\ \mathrm{d}\tilde{Y}_t^{\tilde{\nu},\kappa} = \tilde{b}_t(\tilde{Y}_t^{\tilde{\nu},\kappa}) \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times [0,1]} U^{\tilde{\nu}}((\tilde{X}_{t-} - \tilde{Y}_{t-}^{\tilde{\nu},\kappa}), z, u)N(\mathrm{d}t, \mathrm{d}z, \mathrm{d}u) \end{cases}
$$

has a unique strong solution  $(\tilde{X}_t, \tilde{Y}^{\tilde{\nu}, \kappa}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , where for  $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $u \in [0,1],$ 

$$
U^{\tilde{\nu}}(x, z, u) := x \left( 1_{\{u \le \frac{1}{2}\rho^{\tilde{\nu}}(-x, z)\}} - 1_{\{\frac{1}{2}\rho^{\tilde{\nu}}(-x, z) < u \le \frac{1}{2}(\rho^{\tilde{\nu}}(-x, z) + \rho^{\tilde{\nu}}(x, z))\}} \right)
$$
\nwith  $\rho^{\tilde{\nu}}(x, z) := \frac{\tilde{\nu}_x(\mathrm{d}z)}{\nu(\mathrm{d}z)} \in [0, 1].$ 

• Luo, D. and Wang, J.: Refined couplings and Wasserstein-type distances for SDEs with Lévy noises, Stoch. Process. Appl., 129 (2019), 3129–3173.

• Liang, M., Majka, M.B. and Wang, J.: Exponential ergodicity for SDEs and McKean-Vlasov processes with Lévy noise, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat., 57 (2021), 1665-1701.

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

 $\equiv$   $\cap$   $\alpha$ 

• For any  $t \geq s$ ,

•

$$
d\psi(|r_t|) = \frac{\psi'(|r_t|)}{|r_t|} \langle r_t, b_t(\tilde{X}_t) - \tilde{b}_t(\tilde{Y}_t^{\nu,\kappa}) \rangle 1_{\{r_t \neq \mathbf{0}\}} dt + \frac{1}{2} \nu_{(r_t)_{\kappa}} (\mathbb{R}^d) \big( \psi(|r_t| + \kappa \wedge |r_t|) + \psi(|r_t| - \kappa \wedge |r_t|) - 2\psi(|r_t|) \big) dt + d\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{s,t}
$$

for some martingale  $(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{s,t})_{t>s}$ .

$$
\langle r_t, b_t(\tilde{X}_t) - \tilde{b}_t(\tilde{Y}_t^{\nu,\kappa})\rangle
$$

• Coupling time does not make sense in this setting.

4 D F

4 f →

 $QQ$ 

画

• For any  $t \geq s$ ,

•

$$
d\psi(|r_t|) = \frac{\psi'(|r_t|)}{|r_t|} \langle r_t, b_t(\tilde{X}_t) - \tilde{b}_t(\tilde{Y}_t^{\nu,\kappa})\rangle 1_{\{r_t \neq \mathbf{0}\}} dt + \frac{1}{2} \nu_{(r_t)_{\kappa}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \big(\psi(|r_t| + \kappa \wedge |r_t|) + \psi(|r_t| - \kappa \wedge |r_t|) - 2\psi(|r_t|)\big) dt + d\overline{M}_{s,t}
$$

for some martingale  $(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{s,t})_{t>s}$ .

$$
\langle r_t, b_t(\tilde{X}_t) - \tilde{b}_t(\tilde{Y}_t^{\nu,\kappa})\rangle
$$

4 D F

**∢ 向 →** 

• Coupling time does not make sense in this setting.

<span id="page-43-0"></span>画

## Proof: application 2

Consider the McKean-Vlasov SDE

 $dX_t = b(X_t, \mathscr{L}_{X_t}) dt + dZ_t.$ 

Denote  $\mu_t:=\mathscr{L}_{X_t}$  with  $\mathscr{L}_{X_0}=\mu\in\mathscr{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\hat{\mu}_t:=\mathscr{L}_{X_t}$  with  $\mathscr{L}_{X_0}=\hat{\mu}\in\mathcal{P}_1$  $\mathscr{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Consider the following two SDEs: for  $\mu, \hat{\mu} \in \mathscr{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$
dY_t^{\mu} = b(Y_t^{\mu}, \mu_t) dt + dZ_t, \quad \mathscr{L}_{Y_0^{\mu}} = \mu,
$$

and

<span id="page-44-0"></span>
$$
dY_t^{\hat{\mu}} = b(Y_t^{\hat{\mu}}, \hat{\mu}_t) dt + dZ_t, \quad \mathscr{L}_{Y_0^{\hat{\mu}}} = \hat{\mu}.
$$

Let

 $b_t(x) = b_t^{\mu}(x) := b(x, \mu_t), \qquad \tilde{b}_t(x) = b_t^{\hat{\mu}}(x) = b(x, \hat{\mu}_t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t \ge 0.$ 

Thus, two SDEs above can be reformulated into our framework. The SDE above is called the decoupled SDE associated with the Mc[Kea](#page-43-0)[n-](#page-45-0)[V](#page-43-0)[la](#page-44-0)[s](#page-45-0)[o](#page-46-0)v  $\frac{1}{2}$  $2990$ Jian Wang (Fujian Normal University) Quantitative estimates for Lévy driven SDEs February 25, 2023 23 / 25

## Proof: application 2

Consider the McKean-Vlasov SDE

 $dX_t = b(X_t, \mathscr{L}_{X_t}) dt + dZ_t.$ 

Denote  $\mu_t:=\mathscr{L}_{X_t}$  with  $\mathscr{L}_{X_0}=\mu\in\mathscr{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\hat{\mu}_t:=\mathscr{L}_{X_t}$  with  $\mathscr{L}_{X_0}=\hat{\mu}\in\mathcal{P}_1$  $\mathscr{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Consider the following two SDEs: for  $\mu, \hat{\mu} \in \mathscr{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$
dY_t^{\mu} = b(Y_t^{\mu}, \mu_t) dt + dZ_t, \quad \mathscr{L}_{Y_0^{\mu}} = \mu,
$$

and

<span id="page-45-0"></span>
$$
dY_t^{\hat{\mu}} = b(Y_t^{\hat{\mu}}, \hat{\mu}_t) dt + dZ_t, \quad \mathscr{L}_{Y_0^{\hat{\mu}}} = \hat{\mu}.
$$

Let

 $b_t(x) = b_t^{\mu}(x) := b(x, \mu_t), \qquad \tilde{b}_t(x) = b_t^{\hat{\mu}}(x) = b(x, \hat{\mu}_t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t \ge 0.$ 

Thus, two SDEs above can be reformulated into our framework. The SDE above is called the decoupled SDE associated with the Mc[Kea](#page-44-0)[n-](#page-46-0)[V](#page-43-0)[la](#page-44-0)[s](#page-45-0)[o](#page-46-0)[v S](#page-0-0)[D](#page-49-0)[E.](#page-0-0)  $QQQ$ 

## Remark: total variation

• For any 
$$
t \ge s
$$
,  
\n
$$
d\psi(|r_t|) = \frac{\psi'(|r_t|)}{|r_t|} \langle r_t, b_t(\tilde{X}_t) - \tilde{b}_t(\tilde{Y}_t^{\nu,\kappa}) \rangle 1_{\{r_t \neq 0\}} dt
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \nu_{(r_t)_{\kappa}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \big( \psi(|r_t| + \kappa \wedge |r_t|) + \psi(|r_t| - \kappa \wedge |r_t|) - 2\psi(|r_t|) \big) dt + \cdots
$$

• Lévy kernel  $\mu(r, dz)$  associated with the coupling process is given by

$$
\mu(r, \mathrm{d}z) = \frac{1}{2}\mu_r(\mathbb{R}^d) \big(\delta_r(\mathrm{d}z) + \delta_{-r}(\mathrm{d}z)\big), \qquad r \ge 0.
$$

 $\bullet$  Let  $\overline{\mathscr{L}}$  be the Lévy-type operator expressed as follows: for  $h\in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $r\geq 0,$ 

<span id="page-46-0"></span>
$$
(\overline{\mathscr{L}}h)(r) = h'(r)(\phi(r) + M) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( h(r+z) - h(r) \right) \overline{\mu}(r, dz).
$$

where  $\phi(r) := K_1 1_{\{0 \le r \le \ell_0\}} - K_2 r 1_{\{r > \ell_0\}}$ , and the Lévy measure  $\overline{\mu}$  fulfils

 $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\Omega$ 

## Remark: total variation

- For any  $t > s$ ,  $\mathrm{d}\psi(|r_t|)=\frac{\psi'(|r_t|)}{|r_t|}$  $\frac{(|r_t|)}{|r_t|} \langle r_t, b_t(\tilde{X}_t) - \tilde{b}_t(\tilde{Y}_t^{\nu,\kappa}) \rangle \mathbb{1}_{\{r_t \neq \mathbf{0}\}} dt$  $+\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}\nu_{(r_t)_\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^d)(\psi(|r_t|+\kappa\wedge|r_t|)+\psi(|r_t|-\kappa\wedge|r_t|)-2\psi(|r_t|)) dt+\cdots$
- Lévy kernel  $\mu(r, dz)$  associated with the coupling process is given by

$$
\mu(r,\mathrm{d}z) = \frac{1}{2}\mu_r(\mathbb{R}^d)\big(\delta_r(\mathrm{d}z) + \delta_{-r}(\mathrm{d}z)\big), \qquad r \ge 0.
$$

 $\bullet$  Let  $\overline{\mathscr{L}}$  be the Lévy-type operator expressed as follows: for  $h\in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $r\geq 0,$ 

<span id="page-47-0"></span>
$$
(\overline{\mathscr{L}}h)(r) = h'(r)(\phi(r) + M) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( h(r+z) - h(r) \right) \overline{\mu}(r, dz).
$$

where  $\phi(r) := K_1 1_{\{0 \le r \le \ell_0\}} - K_2 r 1_{\{r > \ell_0\}}$ , and the Lévy measure  $\overline{\mu}$  fulfils

 $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\Omega$ 

## Remark: total variation

- For any  $t > s$ ,  $\mathrm{d}\psi(|r_t|)=\frac{\psi'(|r_t|)}{|r_t|}$  $\frac{(|r_t|)}{|r_t|} \langle r_t, b_t(\tilde{X}_t) - \tilde{b}_t(\tilde{Y}_t^{\nu,\kappa}) \rangle \mathbb{1}_{\{r_t \neq \mathbf{0}\}} dt$  $+\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}\nu_{(r_t)_\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^d)(\psi(|r_t|+\kappa\wedge|r_t|)+\psi(|r_t|-\kappa\wedge|r_t|)-2\psi(|r_t|)) dt+\cdots$
- Lévy kernel  $\mu(r, dz)$  associated with the coupling process is given by

$$
\mu(r, \mathrm{d}z) = \frac{1}{2}\mu_r(\mathbb{R}^d) \big(\delta_r(\mathrm{d}z) + \delta_{-r}(\mathrm{d}z)\big), \qquad r \ge 0.
$$

 $\bullet$  Let  $\overline{\mathscr{L}}$  be the Lévy-type operator expressed as follows: for  $h\in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $r\geq 0,$ 

<span id="page-48-0"></span>
$$
(\overline{\mathscr{L}}h)(r) = h'(r)(\phi(r) + M) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( h(r+z) - h(r) \right) \overline{\mu}(r, dz).
$$

where  $\phi(r) := K_1 1_{\{0 \le r \le \ell_0\}} - K_2 r 1_{\{r > \ell_0\}}$ , and the Lévy measure  $\overline{\mu}$  fulfils

 $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$  $\overline{\mu}(r,[x,\infty)) \geq 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq r\}} \mu_x(\mathbb{R}^d), r \geq 0, x \geq 0; \quad \overline{\mu}(r,(-\infty,x)) = 0, r \geq 0, x < 0.$ 

Thank you!

4 日下

∢ ⁄ ⊕ →

<span id="page-49-0"></span> $299$ 

活

∍